

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,724	02/19/2004	Takahiro Kamo	501.43513X00	3285
20457	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
	I, TERRY, STOUT &	WILSON, SCOTT R		
	SEVENTEENTH STR	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON	I, VA 22209-3873		2826	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AR_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	110			
	10/780,724	KAMO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott R. Wilson	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ie correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND.	YON. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commur ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	ugust 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the men	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/are	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>-</u>	, ,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	ice Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appli	cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stag	е			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	1			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/2/05</u> .	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/780,724 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 2 August 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examiner has failed to show distinctness in accordance with the requirement of MPEP §806.05(f). This is not found persuasive because the carbon impurity may be formed, for example, by ion implantation of carbon, rather than a CVD method, as claimed.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figure 4 has no units. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2826

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al.. As to claim 1, Yamazaki et al., Figure 9, discloses a display device including thin film transistors formed on an insulation substrate, wherein the thin film transistor comprises a semiconductor layer (200), a gate electrode (207b) and a gate insulation film (203b) and (102c) of Figure 1E, which is interposed between the semiconductor layer and the gate electrode, and the carbon concentration of the gate insulation film has the distribution in which the carbon concentration is smaller at a side close to the semiconductor layer than at a side remote from the semiconductor layer (col. 11, lines 58-63).

As to claim 2, Yamazaki et al., Figure 9, discloses that the gate insulation film includes at least one layer of deposition film (102c) which is deposited by a deposition method (col. 8, lines 10-11), and the carbon concentration of one deposition film which is formed without interposing other deposition film deposited by a deposition method between the one deposition film and the semiconductor layer has the distribution in which the carbon concentration is smaller at a side close to the semiconductor layer than at a side remote from the semiconductor layer (col. 11, lines 58-63).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. No prior art discloses the claimed invention with a specific ratio of carbon concentration between the interface with the semiconductor layer and the interface at a side remote from

Art Unit: 2826

the semiconductor layer. Yamazaki et al. discloses the carbon concentration only at the insulator

layer/semiconductor layer interface to be from 10¹⁶ atoms/cm³ to 10¹⁹ atoms/cm³ (col. 11, line 64 to col.

12, line 3).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Scott R. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-1925. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F 8:30 - 4:30 Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electric Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

srw

October 18, 2005